

## GLINTON PARISH COUNCIL MEETING

HELD ON Tuesday 15<sup>th</sup> August 2017

At the Village Hall Glinton

PRESENT : Councillors --J.Holdich (Chairman ) D.Batty ,Mrs.Bysshe , R.Johnson, G.Kirk, D.Lane,R.Randall P.Skinner , E.Spendelow and D.Wragg.

And 19 Members of the Public

### Minutes of Meeting

1 Apologies for Absence ----- None

2 Members Declaration of Interest ;

Cllr. Holdich Item 4 b and 4 c

Cllr. Johnson Item 4e

Cllr.Kirk Item 4c

Cllr.Wragg Item 4c

3 PUBLIC PARTICATION

Mr.Tom Robinson spoke in support of his planning application, Item 4c on the Agenda, stating that rain from the tarmac road runs into his property causing dampness. He had been advised that he owned the strip of land which runs at the front of his house and referred to the extra traffic and parking in Rectory Lane during School times which could result in his Bay Window being damaged. He maintained that this Dwarf Wall and railings would enhance the Street Scene.

Cllr.Kirk spoke in support of Mr.Robinson's application stating that there had been antisocial behaviour in Rectory Lane and he thought that the proposed wall and railings would improve the property.

Mr.Hoppe referred to item 4 f on the Agenda and stated that if this planning application were approved major sewer works would need to take place as there is an overflowing sewer in that area where flooding frequently occurs. He suggested that if this Application is Approved then a Condition should be applied stating that these sewer improvements must be carried out as part of the development.

Mr.David Cowcil raised the point regarding Infrastructure in the Village if it is to be developed beyond the Village envelope. At what point can we go more --- are we overdeveloping the Village.

Other points raised by the public concerned the Wildlife adjacent to 29 Peakirk Road and suggested that the Landscaping at that area should be retained.

There were also concerns that there were no Affordable Homes included in the Peakirk Road Development.

4

PLANNING

a Cllr. Johnson gave an update on Planning Applications . Only one Application had been approved since the last meeting, all the others were awaiting decisions

d 17/01388/HHFUL ---- 20 Websters Close. ---- New Single Storey Extension

This application had previously been approved by Planning.

The revised plan involved partial demolition of a side wall to extend the new extension.

Plans had been circulated to the planning working group and there were no objections.

The Application was approved.

Proposed -- Cllr. Randall      Seconded ----- Cllr. Lane

F 17/01253/OUT ----- Land to the East of 29 Peakirk Road ---- construction of 14 new houses

This had been circulated to the planning working group

Councillors considered that;

This application is outside the Village Envelope

Is not part of the Local Plan.

Is not part of the recent Neighbourhood Plan

The Village required 2 and 3 bed “ Affordable Homes “

The Councillors resolved to oppose this application

Proposed ---- Cllr. Kirt      Seconded ----- Cllr. Skinner

G 17/01529/CTR ---12 Lincoln Road ---- T1 --- Ash –Fell

This had been circulated to planning working group and there were no objections.

However as no plan had been submitted with this application it was agreed that this should be referred to the PCC Tree Specialist.

Proposed ---- Cllr. Spendelow      Seconded ---- Cllr. Bysse

E 17/01501/CTR ----- Brook Farm House 3 North Fen Rd. Pruning of Trees

Cllr. Johnson withdrew from the meeting

This had been circulated to the planning working group and there were no objections subject to approval by the PCC Tree Specialist

Proposed Cllr.Randall      Seconded ---- Cllr. Wragg

Cllr. Johnson then re-joined the meeting

b 17/01167/FUL Revised Plans --Bluebell PH High Street ---- A new alfresco dining area

At this point Cllr. Holdich withdrew from the meeting

This application had been circulated to the planning working group.

Cllr. Johnson stated that this proposal involved 78 extra seating in the garden area, and Councillors were of the opinion that this proposal would create noise and nuisance to neighbours.

After due consideration It was felt that this would create a loss of amenities to local residents especially during summer evenings as it would be able to operate during licencing hours up to 11pm. It was noted that there had been complaints from residents.

Councillors agreed to oppose this application and if the PCC Planning Committee were mindful to approve this application this Council requested that it go to Committee.

Proposed ---- Cllr. Batty                      Seconded ---- Cllr. Spendelow

C 17/01288/hhFUL & 17/01289/LBC ---12 Rectory Lane Erection of Dwarf Wall and Railings

At this point Councillors Holdich ,Kirt and Wragg withdrew from the meeting

This application had been circulated to the Planning Working Group

Cllr. Johnson circulated two photos of postcards of the front of 12 Rectory Lane , one posted in 1909 and one in 1940 . These photos showed no sign of any wall or railings being erected at these times and both photos confirmed that the footpath/ road went right up to the front of the property. Councillors questioned who owned land in front of this property and suggested it should be confirmed by the Land Registry.

It was also suggested that ownership of land was not a planning issue. Several Councillors were also concerned with the proposed railings being spiked as this could be a safety issue with children using Rectory Lane as a route to both schools. Concern was also expressed that if this application went ahead it would cause pedestrians to divert onto the roadway to avoid the obstruction of the new proposed wall as it will block the existing footpath .If the application was approved it would alter the street scene of over 100 years duration and as it is a listed building in a conservation area this could set a precedent .

The chairman then proposed that this application should be put to a vote.

Councillors in favour ----- 3

Councillors against ----- 4

Therefore the Council had decided to oppose the application

Councillors also agreed that if the PCC Planning Committee were mindful to approve this application then this Council requests that it goes to committee.

Cllr. Holdich, Kirk and Wragg rejoined the meeting.

The Chairman then thanked Councillors and the Public for attending

The meeting closed at 8pm.

Next meeting 19<sup>th</sup> September 2017